UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,716	02/10/2000	Kazuichi Ooe	1046.1209/JDH 4289	
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/25/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		TSEGAYE, SABA		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		716	OOE, KAZUICHI		
		er	Art Unit		
	SABA T	SEGAYE	2619		
The MAILING DATE of this comi Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	E MAILING DATE OF T sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no o communication. Im statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a oths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be till will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the present the communication of the	2b)⊡ This action is ion for allowance excer	non-final. ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.2.6 and 8 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 6 and 8 is/are reje 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn from conted.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to b 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	are: a) accepted or lobjection to the drawing(s) ding the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:)ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/501,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 03/31/08. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 8 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reynolds (US 5,742,499) in view of Furtney et al. (US 5,579,509).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 6, Reynolds discloses a communications method of performing communications by switching over a plurality of communication modes (a method for selecting one communication mode from a plurality of communication modes), comprising:

measuring a communication performance between a plurality of communication devices (multi node computer system 10 comprised of a plurality of processors (also called CPU)) each comprising a CPU and a memory (processor's memory; see column 5, lines 25-27; column 8, lines 15-30) and being connected via a network (103) by measuring periodically (column 6, lines 40-47) a communication time of each of the communication modes of one of the communication devices for each communication device (each particular operation requires communication among the nodes of the parallel processing system; column 4, lines 18-21) under a plurality of communication conditions (a particular operation employing a selected communication mode within a multimode computer system; selecting an optimal communications mode at operation run-time. See abstract; column 5, lines 11-46; column 8, lines);

Art Unit: 2619

obtaining a condition-based optimum communication mode for each communication device (column 4, lines 10-22) in which the communication time in one of the communication modes of the one of the communication devices, exceeds a communication time of other communication mode per communication condition of the one of the communication devices (see fig 4; steps 409-411; column 8, lines 31-45);

selecting the condition-based optimum communication mode for each communication device in accordance with the communication condition when in communications, and thus performing the communications between the communication devices based on the condition based optimum communication mode of the one of the communication devices (see fig 4; steps 409-416; column 8, lines 31-59, column 4, lines 10-22).

Further, Reynolds discloses selecting a mode of communication from a plurality of modes of communication for performing **a plurality of operations** so as to optimize a performance characteristic of **a multi-node** computer system; and performing the particular operation within the **multi-node** computer system using the selected mode of communication. Each particular operation requires communication among the nodes. Reynolds does not expressly disclose a communications method that comprises a version of the operating system.

As known, difficulties arise when a transmitter to send a data packet to receivers using a different version of an operating system. It is also known that to perform compatibility checking, the transmitter and the receivers exchange their software numbers.

Furtney teaches a method and apparatus that verifies compatibility of a plurality of interacting software modules and/or hardware components (column 3, lines 1-50; column 6, lines 10-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a version identifier, such as that suggested by Furtney, in the system of Reynolds in order to provide an enhanced method and apparatus for verifying compatibility of a plurality of interacting system components (column 2, lines 10-12).

Regarding claim 8, Reynolds discloses a communications device further comprising; a storage unit storing the condition-based optimum communication mode (column 8, lines 15-30),

wherein the performance measuring module measures the communication performance in the communications with other communications device if not stored with the condition-based optimum communication mode in the communications with the other communications device when performing the communications with the other communications device (column 8, line 15-33), and the optimum mode-obtaining module obtains the condition-based optimum communication mode (column 8, line 15-33).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03/31/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "Reynolds is silent on any measuring periodically a communication time." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Reynolds discloses that selecting communications mode using hardware parameters and run-time parameter. Using the hardware parameter, "...the hardware characterization test may be run on a periodic basis during execution of the job..." (column 6, lines 11-47). Further, Applicant argues that "Furtney does"

Application/Control Number: 09/501,716 Page 5

Art Unit: 2619

not cure Reynolds' deficiency, because Furtney discusses verifying software module versions, but is silent on, and does not provide any evidence expressly or implicitly to one skilled in the art to be modified to provide the claimed communication mode selection based upon operating system version." It is respectfully submitted that the rejection is based on the combined teaching of Reynolds reference and Furtney reference, and that Reynolds reference, as pointed out above, teaches communication mode selection. Furtney assists apparatus and method for verifying compatibility of system components (column 6, lines 10-13).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SABA TSEGAYE whose telephone number is (571)272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/501,716 Page 6

Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Saba Tsegaye/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619 June 20, 2008

/Wing F. Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619 6/22/08